IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ALL DIVISIONS

CASES ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE GRAHAM C. MULLEN

ANDERS V. COLVIN	1:13CV284)
PADGETT V. COLVIN	1:14CV44)
ABEE V. COLVIN	1:14CV76)
COLE V. COLVIN	1:14CV132)
CASTRO V. COLVIN	1:14CV213)
JOLLEY V. COLVIN	1:14CV230)
BIRCHFIELD V. COLVIN	1:15CV53)
JOHNSON V. COLVIN	1:15CV60)
MAY V. COLVIN	1:15CV90)
MOTE V. COLVIN	1:15CV96)
CALDWELL V. COLVIN	2:14CV19)
TAYLOR V. COLVIN	3:14CV510)
POIRIER V. COLVIN	3:14CV632)
BOYD V. COLVIN	3:14CV673)
JACKSON V. COLVIN	3:15CV16)
CERDA V. COLVIN	3:15CV146)
PLYLER V. COLVIN	3:15CV209)
WOZNICK V. COLVIN	5:13CV160)
LINARES V. COLVIN	5:14CV120)
KRIEGER V. COLVIN	5:14CV134)
POWER V. COLVIN	5:14CV148)
SMITH V. COLVIN	5:14CV164)
PINKERTON V. COLVIN	5:14CV173)
PRESNELL V. COLVIN	5:14CV184)
HEATH V. COLVIN	5:15CV8)
DAVIDSON V. COLVIN	5:15CV27)

THIS MATTER is before the Court *sua sponte* in light of *Mascio v. Colvin*, 780 F.3d 632 (4th Cir. 2015). The parties are hereby directed to consult one another and discuss, in good faith, whether the ruling in *Mascio* requires sentence four remand pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)

¹ The mandate issued on May 11, 2015.

for rehearing or other administrative proceedings. The parties shall then advise the Court via Status Report to be filed with the Court **on or before June 15, 2015** on this issue, namely, whether remand to the Commissioner of Social Security is appropriate. The Status Report must certify that counsel have, in fact, discussed *Mascio* and its implication in the specific case. If a consent to remand is not proposed and supplemental briefing is requested by either party, all supplemental filings should be submitted **on or before June 22, 2015.**²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: June 1, 2015

Graham C. Mullen

United States District Judge

² This does not apply to cases in which supplemental briefing has already taken place.